

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed February 24, 2005 has been received and reviewed. By the present Response and Amendment, the Specification and Claims 1 – 3 have been amended. The drawings were not changed, although they were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) in the office correspondence, because they showed only one bushing, where Claim 1 mentioned two bushings. Claim 1 has been re-worded to accommodate the drawing showing only one bushing. No new matter is introduced.

The Specification is objected to, because the term “**rotably**” was used in line 2 of paragraph [0028] and elsewhere in the Specification. The required corrections have been made.

The spelling and usage of other words or phrases used in the application were checked using the resources of www.dictionary.com and corrected as appropriate as has been shown in the amendments.

Claims 1 – 3 are objected to because the term “**rotably**” was first recited in line 4 of Claim 1. The required corrections were made to the wording of Claim 1, and the term “**rotably**” was not found in Claims 2 – 3.

Claim 1 is objected to because the phrase “**said upright portion of the two rear wheel brackets**” in the last line of the claim is indefinite, because earlier in the claim I cited that each rear wheel bracket has an upright portion, which makes it unclear as to which upright portion was being referred to. Claim 1 has been reworded to clarify that point.

Claim 2 refers to “**a wheelbarrow**” and that phrase is indefinite, because it is unclear whether the wheelbarrow in Claim 2 is intended to be the same or different from that in Claim 1. The appropriate text in Claim 2 has been revised to clarify that point.

Claim 3 refers to “**a wheelbarrow**” and that phrase is indefinite, because it is unclear whether the wheelbarrow in Claim 3 is intended to be the same or different from that in Claim 1. The appropriate text in Claim 3 has been revised to clarify that point.


In Claim 3, the phrase “**the brake pads**” in line 3 is indefinite, because in Claim 1, the phrase “**at least one brake pad**” is recited. Therefore, it is possible from the recitation that only one brake pad exists. Claim 3 has been reworded to clarify that point.

Serial No.: 10/779,831
Applicant: Edgar L. Swift

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments submitted herein and the above comments, it is believed that all grounds of objection and rejection are overcome and that the application has now been placed in full condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant earnestly solicits early and favorable action. Should there be further questions or reservations, the Examiner is respectfully asked to telephone me at any time at (770) 641-8860.

Respectfully submitted,


Edgar L. Swift, Applicant

EDGAR L. SWIFT
1596 Sandpoint Drive
Roswell, GA 30075
Tel: (770) 641-8860

Serial No.: 10/779,831
Applicant: Edgar L. Swift

DRAWING AMENDMENTS

Remark:

It was correctly noted by the Examiner that my drawings failed to show two bushings that I recited in line 2 from the bottom of claim 1. Rather than amend the drawing, I reworded Claim 1, starting two lines from the bottom of that claim, to accommodate the drawing showing only one bushing.